Pool Discussion Points

September 10, 2012

March 2011 – issued RFP for pool analysis of conditions of pools including compliance (including ADA) and capacity. Requested breakdown of needed repairs and modifications for status quo, and also for conversion to UPA.

"The Consultant will perform the following tasks utilizing objective and other evidence-based and/or published authorities for its recommendations:

1. Determine present capacity of the Brandermill Pools; Evaluate the present condition of the Brandermill Pools, using objective criteria; and Determine projected usage of Pools under the following scenarios - Status Quo (continue the present membership fee model admitting non-Brandermill residents as members); Universal Access with no outside members; and Universal Access with outside members.

2. Evaluate the present Brandermill Pool facilities and determine the following:
   a. Whether and to what extent the present condition of the pools can absorb the projected usage of the Brandermill Pools under each of the above scenarios.
   b. Evaluate and determine what upgrades or maintenance would become necessary in the near future (2-5 years) in order to maintain the current level of service given the projected usage of pools under each scenario.

3. Facilities Evaluation
   a. Determine whether improvements or remediation are required for the Brandermill Pool facilities.
   b. Evidence based recommendations

4. Cost Estimates: The Consultant is expected to provide general cost estimates for each of its recommendations.

October 2011

Accepted after several attempts at rewrites a report from Higgins and Gerstemaier – only marginally responsive to requirements.

May 2012 – Parks, Amenities and Recreation Committee developed and issued an RFP with the following scope of work:

"*The Contractor is asked to identify the **COST** for providing the following:*

I. With the existing membership model, and existing footprints undisturbed at each pool:

1. Cost to design plans to address:
A. All compliance issues (including ADA) currently existing at each site (Federal, State, County),
B. Aesthetic improvements, for each site, designed to enhance the overall pool experience,
C. Pool building facility enhancements, for each site

II. *Using the Universal Pool Model, and assessing each facilities overall characteristic's and feasibility for expansion, determine costs for each of the items identified in I above.*

June 23, 2012
Board Strategic Planning Work session
"Define and present to the community issues related to Universal Pool Access and make a decision on UPA during this Board term" (ending April 2013).

July/August 2012
Raimo and Livingston evaluate proposals submitted in response to the May 2012 RFP and refine proposal with a vendor.

September 10, 2012
Board is asked to review and approve engagement with a vendor, in response to RFP.

September 25, 2012
NRC meeting. Livingston to give presentation about pools.